STATEMENT OF WORK
FOR
SYSTEMS ENGINEERING, ANALYSIS, AND TECHNICAL SERVICES
IN SUPPORT OF
ASSAULT BREACHING SYSTEMS PROGRAM

1.0 SCOPE

The mission of Assault Breaching Systems (ABS) is rapid detection and clearance (or location, marking and avoidance) of mines and obstacles in support of U.S. Marine Corps and U.S. Navy amphibious power projection operations. Of particular interest is the need to continue to educate and solicit inputs from operational commands to support the ABS System-of-Systems (ABS SoS) development, operations analysis, and concept development.

In order to continue the effort, OPNAV (N752), Marine Corps Combat Development Command (MCCDC), PEO LMW Mine Warfare Program Office (PMS495), and Naval Surface Warfare Center Panama City (NSWC-PC) desire to continue the process of Fleet workshops to familiarize operational commands with assault breaching program developments and provide opportunities for direct Fleet feedback on issues related to the breaching mission. Receiving operational-level feedback is a critical step in ensuring that the appropriate new assault breaching systems are relevant when developed and delivered to the Fleet. The same feedback is also of utility to organizations like Navy Warfare Development Command, MCCDC, and Surface Warfare Development Group in developing corresponding doctrine and tactics. Accordingly, contractor support is required to develop and facilitate two workshops (one Gulf Coast and one East Coast) to bring together mine and amphibious force, Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) and special warfare Fleet personnel with laboratory personnel and program sponsors and acquisition agencies for the purpose of discussing assault breaching program developments and related issues.

NSWC Panama City requires the support of a contractor with personnel who are familiar with all aspects of expeditionary warfare, amphibious warfare, and shallow water mine countermeasures. Contractor personnel must be familiar with the current status of the ABS SoS; experienced in concept development and requirements review and gap analysis; experienced in workshop planning and facilitation; experienced in providing operational subject matter expertise to the ABS IPT; and knowledgeable of Navy and Marine Corps operational and acquisition command positions in the area of expeditionary warfare.

Finally, with the transition of the Coastal Battlefield Reconnaissance and Analysis (COBRA) System from the Marine Corps to the Navy in FY 05, there is a need to maintain continuity of effort with the system development by bringing previous Marine Corps contractors to support PMS495. The continuity of contractor support is required to ensure a steady approach to the development of the COBRA system with broad-based technical, analytical, acquisition, and logistical support to assist the Project Manager (PM) and Project Officer (PO) with requirements analysis and validation, feasibility and performance assessments, and technology evaluations. PMS-495 and NSWC-PC require the services of a contractor experienced in the COBRA program development and execution. The contractor must have experience in COBRA program, test and evaluation, and logistics documentation; COBRA configuration management; and be knowledgeable in COBRA security certification and accreditation process.

2.0 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

None.

3.0 REQUIREMENTS

3.1 Assault Breaching Systems (ABS) Program Support.

3.1.1 Task 1 – Gulf Coast Amphibious and MCM Workshop.

3.1.1.1 Gulf Coast Workshop Planning Meeting. The contractor shall facilitate a workshop planning meeting with OPNAV (N752), MCCDC, PMS 495 and NSWC-PC in the Washington, D.C. area. The focus of the initial planning meeting will be to confirm the sponsors’ desired location for the workshop,
identify the time frame for conducting the workshop, agenda development, identify attendees, and methodology for focusing discussions to elicit feedback. The contractor shall recommend proposed attendees, briefing topics, and primary/alternate workshop dates.

3.1.1.2 Develop and Facilitate Gulf Coast Workshop. The contractor shall make all preparations for a two-day workshop for approximately 75-100 people at the Gulf Coast site, which will be determined during Task 3.1.1.1. The workshop shall be composed of a series of briefings followed by open forum discussions focused on selected aspects of Amphibious and MCM topics. The contractor shall prepare the necessary messages for the host command that provide the workshop purpose, goals, dates, location, administrative details, and recommended attendees. An agenda shall be prepared to include program briefings by the sponsor, the results of previous workshops, and pertinent breaching “Lessons Learned” from the most recent operations and/or exercises. In developing the agenda, the contractor shall concurrently prepare a briefing on the disposition of all issues from the August 2004 East Coast Workshop as determined at its Issues Review Group (IRG) meeting.

The contractor shall facilitate the two-day Gulf Coast Workshop. Facilitation shall include workshop set-up, coordinating administrative and logistics support, and maintaining a detailed record of proceedings throughout.

3.1.1.3 “Quicklook” Message. The contractor shall develop a “quicklook” message for the host command summarizing the Gulf Coast Workshop agenda and new issues raised by the attendees.

3.1.1.4 IRG Executive Session Meeting. The contractor shall facilitate a post-workshop IRG meeting with OPNAV (N752 and N753), MCCDC, PMS 495, NSWC-PC, and HQMC (POE) in the Washington, D.C. area. The IRG will meet in Executive Session to determine the disposition of each issue identified by the Fleet at the workshop. The contractor shall maintain a detailed record of proceedings and issue dispositions, and shall provide a memorandum for the record (MFR) summarizing both. The MFR shall include a draft and a final version.

3.1.1.5 Develop Gulf Coast Workshop Report. The contractor shall develop a report for OPNAV (N752), MCCDC, PMS495, and NSWC PC to reflect the results of the Gulf Coast Workshop. The report shall include a draft and a final version.

3.1.2 Task 2 – East Coast Amphibious and MCM Workshop.

3.1.2.1 East Coast Workshop Planning Meeting. The contractor shall facilitate a workshop planning meeting with OPNAV (N752), MCCDC, PMS 495, and NSWC-PC in the Washington, D.C. area. The focus of the initial planning meeting will be to identify the time frame for conducting the workshop, agenda development, identifying attendees, and methodology for focusing discussions to elicit feedback. The contractor shall recommend proposed attendees, briefing topics, and primary/alternate workshop dates.

3.1.2.2 Develop and Facilitate East Coast Workshop. The contractor shall make all preparations for a two-day workshop for approximately 100-125 people at the East Coast site, which will be determined during Task 3.1.2.1. The workshop shall be composed of a series of briefings followed by open forum discussions focused on selected aspects of Amphibious and MCM topics. The contractor shall prepare the necessary messages for the host command that provide the workshop purpose, goals, dates, location, administrative details, and recommended attendees.

An agenda shall be prepared to include program briefings by the sponsor, the results of previous workshops, and pertinent breaching “Lessons Learned” from the most recent operations and/or exercises. In developing the agenda, the contractor shall prepare a briefing on the disposition of all issues from the 2005 Gulf Coast Workshop as determined at its IRG meeting.

The contractor shall facilitate the two-day East Coast Workshop. Facilitation shall include workshop set-up, coordinating administrative and logistics support, maintaining a detailed record of proceedings throughout, and conducting the post-workshop IRG meeting.
3.1.2.3 “Quicklook” Message. The contractor shall develop a “quicklook” message for the host command summarizing the East Coast Workshop agenda and new issues raised by the attendees.

3.1.2.4 IRG Executive Session Meeting. The contractor shall facilitate a post-workshop IRG meeting with OPNAV (N752 and N753), MCCDC, PMS 495, NSWC-PC, and HQMC (POE) in the Washington, D.C. area. The IRG will meet in Executive Session to determine the disposition of each issue identified by the Fleet at the workshop. The contractor shall maintain a detailed record of proceedings and issue dispositions, and shall provide a MFR summarizing both. The MFR shall include a draft and a final version.

3.1.2.5 Develop East Coast Workshop Report. The contractor shall develop a report for OPNAV (N752), MCCDC, PMS 495, and NSWC-PC to reflect the results of the East Coast Workshop. The report shall include a draft and a final version.

3.1.3 Task 3 – JABS System Engineering Support.

3.1.3.1 As the Navy prepares for the introduction of the JDAM Assault Breaching System (JABS) as a counter-mine, counter-obstacle (CMCO) weapon, a number of new issues require coordination and resolution not just within the Navy, but with the US Air Force as well. The contractor shall support the NSWC-PC Systems Engineering effort for the introduction of JABS as their primary liaison with the Navy and USAF heavy bomber communities, and the Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force test and evaluation commands. Specifically, the contractor shall support the development of new Joint TTPs required to conduct the breaching mission, and support the implementation of the MOA regarding the principles governing the Air Force combat aircraft aerial delivery of weapons in support of maritime operations.

3.1.3.2 Additionally, The contractor shall support the JABS system engineer in working with COMOPTEVFOR, MCOTEA, and AFOTEC, as required, to ensure that any and all operational and developmental testing is completed to satisfy initial and full operational capability assessments.

3.1.3.3 Other potential tasks could include review and update of the JABS Concepts and Techniques document, and development of a JABS stockpile-to-target sequence.

3.1.3.4 The contractor shall liaise with appropriate Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force commands to validate the JABS concept of operations and notional time line. The contractor shall develop a JABS Demonstration Plan that describes the mission planning and execution events. The contractor shall attend the Fleet exercise planning conferences and assist exercise planners with integrating a JABS mission into the exercise scenario. The contractor shall brief key exercise participants on the JABS Demonstration Plan. The contractor shall also provide personnel to facilitate the execution of the JABS mission during the exercise. The contractor shall conduct post demonstration analysis and document the exercise results. The contractor will provide a monthly written report of progress.

3.1.4 Task 4 – Fleet Acquisition/Support.

3.1.4.1 The contractor shall develop and present executive-level briefings in support of acquisition program managers’ direction and initiatives. These will be presented to ensure that programmatic decisions and direction are understood and accepted at all levels of the acquisition process, including sponsors at OPNAV and within the Navy and Marine Corps operating commands. The contractor shall support the continuing efforts of the ABS IPT in the conduct of research and presentations on operational matters and fleet interface.

3.1.4.2 The contractor shall assist mine warfare acquisition and program managers in defining requirements for emerging technologies by articulating in the form of naval operational concepts how specific mine warfare operations will be conducted. The contractor’s approach shall be to develop naval operational
concepts that are user-oriented and are intended to ensure that consensus is reached among Navy and Marine Corps operating commands.

3.1.4.3 The contractor shall conduct suitability analyses to anticipate and identify critical issues that could impact system performance and Fleet acceptance. The contractor shall assist with early operational assessments of programs and systems to identify and address potential operational issues before Fleet and OPTEVFOR operational evaluation.

3.2 Coastal Battlefield Reconnaissance And Analysis (COBRA) Program Support.

3.2.1 Task 5 – Management Support.

3.2.1.1 The contractor shall assist the Program Manager (PM) in establishing a cohesive technical management framework that ensures requirements are executed within program constraints. This includes compliance with ACAT-level oversight guidance, documentation, and preparation for program events, and oversight of prime vendor performance (e.g., progress, investment). Within this framework, assist in establishing a strategic approach to business operations such that planning and investment result in optimal program results (e.g., POM planning/execution, contracting strategies). The contractor shall oversee the execution of the following subtasks to be accomplished during the period of performance.

a. Manage the task execution, inclusive of formulating and enforcing work standards, correcting performance discrepancies, ensuring effective communications, and coordinating resources.

b. Submit a monthly status report, which covers all activities of the previous month, and identifies associated products and deliverables for each project and task.

c. Support task management by creating, handling, sending, and receiving the reports outlined above as well as trip reports, meeting minutes, assorted technical reports, travel and funding expenditure reports, and any other documents needed to perform the tasks and sub-tasks outlined in section 5. The contractor shall prepare all documents in both hardcopy and electronic formats as directed.

3.2.2 Task 6 – Documentation Development/Review.

3.2.2.1 The following subtasks shall be accomplished during the period of performance. The contractor shall develop, revise, or maintain, but is not limited to the following types of documentation to support the Program Office. For those documents authored by the Program Office, the deliverable schedule is outlined in section 6.3. For those documents produced external to the Program Office, including other USN, NAVSEA activities, the contractor shall prepare comments for each document. Comments may be in the form of informal deliverables (e-mail, verbal, or electronic comments to the document) to formal deliverables.

a. Program Documentation. The contractor shall develop, update, or maintain program documentation in support of the COBRA Project. This shall include program documentation such as the Single Acquisition Management Plan (SAMP), Acquisition Program Baseline (APB), Life Cycle Cost Estimate (LCCE), Test and Evaluation Master Plan (TEMP), Program Management Plan (PMP), and other documentation necessary to satisfy statutory and regulatory requirements in support of a Milestone A, B and/or C decision as listed in enclosure 3 of DoDI 5000.2.

b. Test and Evaluation documentation. The contractor shall review and provide comments on test documentation such as test plans and procedures, and proposed test methods for COBRA efforts. If necessary, the contractor shall include in the review recommendations regarding technical compliance and progress or risks associated with achieving test objectives and requirements. If required and when directed by the Project Officer, the contractor shall develop operational and technical test reports.

c. Logistics documentation. The contractor shall develop, review, and, where necessary, revise or update required Program Office acquisition and logistics documentation supporting the project and review other documentation as required. Such Program documentation may include, but is not limited to
Catalog Requests, User Logistics Support Summaries, Type Classification documentation, and Request for Nomenclatures. Other documentation may include documentation authored external to the Program Office such as logistics plans and documents such as training plans and materials, spares listings, delivery inventories, installation and checkout plans, Operator and Software User’s Manuals, etc.

3.2.3 Task 7 – Systems Engineering Support.

3.2.3.1 Configuration Management Plan. If so directed, the contractor shall develop, revise or maintain the Program Configuration Management Plan, and review and evaluate all data deliverables related to configuration management and control in accordance with the Configuration management plan.

3.2.3.2 Configuration Management. The contractor shall assist the Program Office in accomplishing the following:

a. Maintaining the Program configuration baseline (functional, allocated and product).

b. The contractor shall evaluate all proposed changes to the baseline for impact and risk when directed by the Project Officer. Once the changes have been approved, the contractor shall maintain cognizance over all system upgrades, including system software and system component upgrades. Report any issues to the Project Officer, and work with the production contractor to resolve all identified issues in the best interests of the government.

c. Monitor and analyze the evolution of the COBRA system design from architecture to detailed design to implementation. Identify technical issues with equipment interoperability, integration, testing, and system performance. Upon Project Officer direction work with the production contractor to resolve identified issues in the best interests of the government.

d. The contractor shall analyze specific COBRA systems to address performance requirements, design functionality, interface definition, core capabilities, migration strategies, software baselines, and related interoperability issues. The contractor shall provide recommendations concerning system configuration and baseline issues in support of COBRA development, production, and fielding.

3.2.4 Task 8 – Certification and Accreditation.

3.2.4.1 The contractor shall advise the Project Officer on program security issues. The contractor shall support the creation, revision and maintenance of the Program Protection Plan (PPP) and the System Security Authorization Agreement (SSAA). The contractor shall support the Program Office in the activities necessary to reach either an Interim Authority to Operate (IATO) or Authority to Operate (ATO) for the COBRA system. When directed, the contractor shall analyze multi level security issues that may arise from future Concepts of Operations (CONOPs), systems integration or technology insertion.

3.2.5 Task 9 – Other Tasks.

3.2.5.1 When directed by the Program Office, the contractor shall assist the Program Office in the following:

a. Monitor engineering and integration issues arising during the development or upgrade of the COBRA system. Provide assessments of alternatives for overcoming issues to the Project Officer.

b. Assist in formulation of block (incremental) upgrades to COBRA. Upon direction by the Project Officer provide assessments of block upgrade alternatives and strategies presented by the development and/or production contractor.
3.3 Monthly Reports

3.3.1 Task 10 – Monthly Reports.

3.3.1.1 The contractor shall provide a monthly written progress report that describes the SWMCM and COBRA work completed for that month, work scheduled for the next month, issues that could impact the POA&M, and the status of funds.

3.4 TRAVEL

3.4.1 ABS Travel

3.4.1.1 Amphibious and MCM Workshops.

a. Two trips for 2 people for 2 days from Virginia Beach, VA to Washington, D.C.
b. One trip for 3 people for 5 days from Virginia Beach, VA to Corpus Christi, TX
c. Two trips for 2 people for 2 days from Virginia Beach, VA to Quantico, VA.
d. Local travel in the Hampton Roads, VA area as necessary.

3.4.1.2 JABS.

a. One trip for 3 people for 5 days from Virginia Beach, VA to St Louis, MO.
b. One trip for 2 people for 5 days from Virginia Beach, VA to Corpus Christi, TX.
c. Three trips for 2 people for 3 days from Virginia Beach, VA to Washington, DC/Quantico, VA.
d. Local travel in the Hampton Roads, VA area, as necessary.

3.4.1.3 Fleet Acquisition/Support.

a. Three trips for 2 people for 3 days from Virginia Beach, VA to Washington, DC
b. Two trips for 2 people for 5 days from Virginia Beach, VA to Panama City, FL.

c. One trip for one person for five days from Stafford, VA to Melbourne, FL
d. Five trips for one person for five days from Stafford, VA to Panama City, FL
e. One trip for one person for five days from Stafford, VA to Charleston, SC
d. Two trips for one person for five days from Stafford, VA to San Diego, CA
e. Local travel to include one trip per week from Stafford, VA to Washington, DC
f. Two trips for 2 people for 5 days from Virginia Beach, VA to Panama City, FL.
g. One trip for 1 person for 3 days from Virginia Beach, VA to Stafford VA.
h. One trip for 1 person for 3 days from Virginia Beach, VA to San Diego, CA.
i. One trip for 1 person for 3 days from Virginia Beach VA to Jacksonville NC.

j. One trip for 1 person for 3 days from Virginia Beach VA to Waynesville MO.

3.5 Task 11: USMC MCM Non-Developmental Item (NDI) Analysis of Alternatives (AOA)

The contractor shall perform the following sub-tasks in support of the development of Analysis of Alternatives (AOA) of Non-Developmental Items (NDI) and Commercial Off-The Shelf (COTS) hardware suitable for use by the USMC to support countermine and counter-obstacle missions. Suitable equipment is expected to include medium and heavy flails, track- and full-width plow and blade attachments, cultivators, scorers, and other earth-moving heavy equipment. The results of this AOA will be presented to the Marine Corps Systems Command, Advocates, Requirements, and Combat Engineer community for consideration of acquisition. These capabilities are required to advance the landing forces from the Beach Exit Zone cleared by JABS and other ABS assets, in-land to their objectives. Specific Marine Corps missions targeted by this equipment include: Beach Zone Clearance (Assault). Rapid Runway Mine/UXO Clearance, Route Clearance, Follow-On Clearance of Assault Lanes, Tactical Area Clearance, Proof Breaching Efforts, Clear and Proof Helicopter/ VSTOL Landing Zones, and Proof Cleared Areas or Routes.

a. Define MOEs and MOPs: Prior to identifying, rating, and ranking equipment, conducting assessments, and interviewing operators, the contractor shall establish standardized Measures of Effectiveness and Measures of Performance.

b. Identify MCM NDI Equipment: The contractor shall conduct a technology/equipment survey, utilizing open-source information, literature searches, commercial manufacturer surveys and brochures, the ONR/IDA Beach Zone to Objective MCM study, and Humanitarian Demining reports. All equipment that could reasonably be expected to perform the mine clearance missions under Task 11 will be included.

c. Conduct Assessments: The contractor shall identify military and civilian operators of equipment identified in Task 11b. The contractor shall conduct interviews and assessments to determine, at a minimum, the quality and applicability of: training, manufacturer support, training materials, maintenance support, maintenance documentation, technical manuals, logistics support, maintainability, durability, performance, operability, and survivability of the respective equipment. The contractor shall identify, contact, and interview U.S. Army, U.S. Marine Corps, Army National Guard, Allies, and Humanitarian Deming personnel regarding the employment of the respective equipment. The contractor shall determine what, if any, detailed Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures (TTPs) exist for the respective equipment.

Documentation: The contractor shall develop and prepare a draft Final report documenting the results of the assessment. The contractor shall incorporate Government comments on the report for final delivery. The contractor shall include schedule, status, financial, and status updates in the overall Monthly report.

9. ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST.

All contractor personnel assigned to the COBRA Program Support task shall be required to sign a Non-Disclosure Agreement and identify any potential OCI issues to the COTR.
4.0 GOVERNMENT FURNISHED INFORMATION

The government shall provide the following documents not later than ten (10) days following issuance of this delivery order.

B. Amphibious Operations in a Mined Environment Functional Needs Analysis (FNA), June 2004
C. Amphibious Operations in a Mined Environment Functional Solution Analysis (FSA), September 2004

N. MCM(X) MAA Final Brief to N852, dated 9 August 2000.
O. CSS brief, MCM(X) MAA Support, dated 25 January 2000.
R. SWMCM Operational Requirements Analysis brief, undated.
S. Explosive Neutralization Advance Technology Demonstration Brief, undated.

W. PMS EOD selected VSW MCM and SZ readings, undated.
X. COMINWARCOM draft letter, “MNS for MCS(X) and MNS for MCM”, undated.

BB. “Recommended Modifications and Clarifications to SWMCM MRC WEST Scenario (draft)”, undated.
CC. SIMEX 1-95, Red Cell Minefield, dated 27 March 1995.

EE. “Amphibious Warfare Master Plan, Volume I” (draft), dated 1 June 1990.

KK. CONPLAN 1017 (draft) dated 30 July 1999.
LL. USCINCCENT OPLAN 1003-98 (draft) dated 31 August 1999.


OO. “Capstone Requirements Document (CRD) for MCM” (draft), dated 30 November 2000


5.0 DELIVERABLES

All deliverables shall be made in accordance with the schedule as specified in the attached Contract Data Requirements List, DD Form 1423.

6.0 PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The period of performance shall be from approximately 1 December 2004 to 27 January 2006.

7.0 SECURITY

Performance of work will require access to and generation of information up to and including SECRET. Although all of the documents prepared under this purchase order are expected to be UNCLASSIFIED, any classified documents will carry the same classification as the source document or be classified according to OPNAVINST security classification guidelines. Provisions of the attached DD Form 254 apply. Classification guidelines include:


c. OPNAVINST S5513.7C-23; Tactics and Doctrine, Mine Warfare; dated 30 November 1990.

d. OPNAVINST S5513.7C-13, Mines, Destructor, Depth Charges, and Ancillary Equipment dated 30 November 1990.

e. OPNAVINST S5513.7C-06, Countermeasures, Mine dated 04 August 1993.

f. Other specific threat guidance as necessary.

8.0 Distribution Limitation Statement.

Technical documents and other data materials generated under this delivery order shall be marked with the following distribution limitation statement on the cover and title page. Word processing files shall have the statement included in the file such that the first page of any resultant hard copy or reports shall display the statements. Additionally, each classified diskette delivered shall be marked externally with the statement:

DISTRIBUTION - Distribution authorized to the US Government agencies and their contractors; (Administrative or Operational use); (date). Other requests for this document shall be referred to Commanding Officer, Naval Surface Warfare Center Panama City (Code E33), 110 Vernon Avenue, Panama City, FL 32407-7001.

Destruction Notice - For classified documents, follow procedures in DOD 5220.22-M, National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual, Chapter 5, Section 7 or DOD 5200.1-R, Information Security Program regulation. For unclassified, limited documents, destroy by any method that will prevent disclosure of contents or reconstruction of the document.
9.0 Release of Information.

All technical data provided to the contractor by the Government will be protected from public disclosure in accordance with markings contained thereon. All other information relating to the items to be delivered or services to be performed under this delivery order may not be disclosed by any means without prior approval of the authorized representative of the Contracting Officer. Dissemination or public disclosure includes, but is not limited to, permitting access to such information by foreign nationals or by any other person or entity: publication of technical or scientific papers, advertising, or any other proposed public release. The contractor shall provide adequate physical protection to such information so as to preclude access by any person or entity not authorized such access by the Government.

10.0 PERFORMANCE BASED REQUIREMENTS

This requirement is performance based. The standards for performance, the acceptable quality level (AQL) and incentives are defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Service</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>AQL Requirement</th>
<th>Method of Surveillance</th>
<th>Positive/Negative Incentive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On time Final Data Deliverables</td>
<td>Delivered on or before due date</td>
<td>90% delivered on time</td>
<td>TOM/technical POC verification of delivery</td>
<td>10% reduction in fee if AQL requirement is not met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Final Data Deliverables</td>
<td>Acceptable quality with no major rewrites required</td>
<td>No major rewrites required</td>
<td>TOM/technical POC review of documentation</td>
<td>10% reduction in fee if AQL requirement is not met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion of tasks within estimated cost</td>
<td>Satisfactory completion of all tasks within the estimated cost</td>
<td>Final cost at or below estimated cost of the order</td>
<td>Review of final payment voucher</td>
<td>Incentive payment equal to 10% of cost under run</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>